

AD _____

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-96-1-6013

TITLE: The Effect of a Moderate Aerobic Exercise Training
Program on Ovarian Function

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATION: Lisa S. Shames, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90033

REPORT DATE: September 1998

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5400

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE September 1998	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (1 Sep 97 - 31 Aug 98)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Effect of a Moderate Aerobic Exercise Training Program on Ovarian Function			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-96-1-6013	
6. AUTHOR(S) Lisa S. Shames, Ph.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90033			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5400			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			19990115 085	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200) There is substantial evidence that estrogens play a key role in the etiology of breast cancer. Studies of athletes and prospective studies of high intensity exercise programs have found a higher frequency of anovulation and lower levels of estradiol among these women. Little is known about the effects of <i>moderate intensity</i> exercise on ovarian function. We hypothesize that the observed reduction in breast cancer risk with exercise is due to altered ovarian function. We are investigating the relationship between a <i>moderate intensity</i> exercise training program and ovarian function. We aim: 1) to determine whether anovulation frequency is affected by a 6 month exercise training program, 2) to determine whether serum E ₂ levels change, and 3) to determine the luteal phase menstrual cycle lengths of these women. We are collecting blood and urine specimens and questionnaire data (over three years) from 120 premenopausal women. Of the 83 participants who began baseline measurements, 20 have completed the study and an additional 20 are expected to complete the study by December 1998. Most of the 43 women who dropped out of the study gave as their reason a change in address or some other aspect of their life that made participation extremely inconvenient.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Breast Cancer, Moderate exercise, Training study, Eströgen, Anovulation, Ovarian function			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 21	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army.

____ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

____ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

____ Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

____ In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

X For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

____ In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

____ In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

____ In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.



PI • Signature _____ Date 9/23/98

Table of Contents

Front Cover.....	i
Standard Form.....	ii
Foreword.....	iii
Introduction.....	1
Body.....	3
Progress Report.....	7
References.....	11
Appendices.....	13

ERIC QUALITY EVALUATED

The Effect of a Moderate Aerobic Exercise Training Program on Ovarian Function (Year 2 Annual Report)

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common serious cancer occurring in American women. As a cause of death among women, breast cancer ranks second only to lung cancer [1]. On the basis of current incidence rates, one in nine women will be diagnosed with breast cancer in her lifetime [1].

There is substantial experimental, clinical and epidemiological evidence that ovarian hormones, particularly estrogens, play a major role in breast cancer risk. These studies have shown that lower levels of estrogen are associated with a reduced risk of disease [2,3].

The study proposed here will generate new information about the influence of exercise on ovarian function in non-athletes. By beginning the process of establishing how much exercise is needed to reduce estrogen levels, we hope to be able to provide practical advice to women on how to reduce their breast cancer risk.

Hormones and breast cancer risk:

A great deal of evidence exists demonstrating that ovarian hormones, in particular estrogens, play a major role in breast cancer risk [1-3]. The age-incidence relationship of the common non-hormone related cancers such as stomach and bladder shows a continuous steady increase with age. In contrast, breast cancer incidence increases steadily and rapidly with age until about age 50 (average age at menopause) at which time the rate of increase slows dramatically [2]. Direct epidemiological study of the effect of age at menopause shows that for each year a woman's ovaries continue to function there is a 10% increase in her subsequent breast cancer risk [1, 2, 4]; this is true whether the menopause is natural or artificial (bilateral oophorectomy). The decline in the rate of increase in incidence around age 50 is thus directly correlated with the markedly reduced serum levels of estrogen (and progesterone) after menopause.

Ovulating women in low breast cancer risk Asian countries have been shown to have lower levels of circulating estrogens than women in the US and the UK, both high risk countries [5]. Postmenopausal breast cancer cases have been found to have higher serum estrogen levels than controls [3]. Although initial reports were inconclusive, recent studies, which paid strict attention to factors which may influence hormone levels in cases, found statistically significant elevated serum levels of estradiol in premenopausal breast cases compared to controls [5].

Estrogen is presumed to increase risk of breast cancer through its known action as a breast cell mitogen [2]. Higher levels of endogenous estrogen would be expected to increase mitotic activity. Both follicular and luteal phase estradiol (E2) are of interest; the breast cell proliferation rate in the follicular phase is some 50% that in the luteal phase and so E2 levels in both phases are important [2]. There is evident, though controversial, that progesterone (Prg) also acts to increase breast cell proliferation. We are making measurements of both E2 and Prg.

Exercise and breast cancer risk:

A survey of surviving Harvard female college athletes found that the athletes had a 46% reduction in prevalence of breast cancer compared to non-athletes (24/2622 vs. 45/2776; 2 sided $P=0.05$) [6]. A Finnish cohort study showed that physical education teachers had an age-adjusted 19% lower risk of breast cancer than language teachers, but the results were not statistically significant (22/924 vs. 106/3239; 2-sided $P=0.21$) [7]. The NHANES I cohort was reported as showing no overall relationship between exercise levels and breast cancer risk, but the questions asking about exercise activity had no duration component and the study has to be considered non-informative [8].

We completed a case-control study of 545 young (age 40 or younger) breast cancer cases and 545 control women matched for age, race, parity and neighborhood of residence [9]. The daily average lifetime (post menarche) number of hours spent in exercise activities was a significant predictor of reduced breast cancer risk (2-sided $P < 0.0001$). Compared to inactive women, risk of breast cancer was reduced by 27% in women who exercised on average 2.5 hours per week, and was reduced by 58% in women who exercising 4 or more hours per week (average approximately 60 mins/day).

Exercise and reproductive function:

We believe, based on our understanding of the relation of ovarian hormones to breast cancer risk [2,3], that the observed protective effect of exercise against breast cancer is likely to be due to a reduction in exposure to serum estrogen. Reduced serum estrogen levels may be due to an increased frequency of anovulatory cycles and/or to decreased circulating levels of estrogen in ovulatory cycles. E2 is the most important estrogen and we will concentrate our attention on E2 in this proposal [2,3]. Cycles with long follicular phase will be associated with lower than average cumulative E2 exposure since such cycles have an increased number of days with early follicular phase low E2 levels. Cycles with short luteal phases have also been found to be associated with low E2 values [10].

Training Studies:

Except for a study by Shangold et al. [11] on a single individual, the studies discussed above compared groups of women who were self selected on exercise level. Some or all of the effects may, therefore, be due to other aspects of their lifestyles or to genetic factors that are strongly correlated with exercise activity, particularly diet. Furthermore, the validity of self-reported responses in cross-sectional studies are of great concern. The potential strength of this prospective training study lies in the ability to structure and monitor the type, intensity and duration of exercise without having to rely on second-hand reports.

We have identified only four exercise training studies of exercise and ovarian function under a controlled protocol which included pre- and post-training testing, all were conducted using very few subjects. The first of these studies was conducted by Boyden et al. [12] among 19 women who had previously engaged in informal running (average, 15.1 mi/wk) and were trained rigorously to run a full marathon over a 14 to 15 month period. Each subject had blood samples taken at baseline, after their weekly mileage had increased by 30 miles per week and again when weekly mileage had increased by 50 miles per week. The mean plasma midfollicular E2 values were 76% of the baseline values after a weekly mileage increase of 30 mi/wk and 48% at 50 mi/wk (2-sided $P = 0.03$). However, Prg values were not obtained during the test cycles, and it is, therefore, unclear as to whether the E2 values reported occurred within ovulatory cycles.

In a study by Bullen et al. [13], 7 young women with prior athletic experience were trained at a high-intensity. The training protocol consisted of cycle ergometry 2 days/wk and running 4 days/wk at exercise intensities eliciting 85% of maximum heart rate. The duration of high-intensity activity was increased weekly from 20 mins/session to 45 mins/session over a 4 week period. Subjects trained at 45 mins/session for the remaining 4 weeks of the study. All of the cycles appeared to have been ovulatory, as evidenced by midcycle surges of LH and follicle stimulating hormone (FSH). Diminished urinary estriol (E3) levels were observed in 57% (4 of 7 subjects). Serum E2 levels were reported to have not changes appreciably, however no quantitative values were offered in the published report.

In a subsequent study of Bullen et al. [14], 28 initially untrained women with documented ovulation (urinary LH surge) were studied to determine whether strenuous exercise spanning two menstrual cycles would induce menstrual disorders. Initially, subjects ran 4

mi/day and increased their training regimen to 10 mi/day by the end of week 5 and continued to run 10 mi/day for the remaining 5 weeks of the study. Subjects ran at 75% to 80% of maximum heart rate. Only 4 of 28 subjects (14%) had a normal cycle during one or both periods of exercise training. The criterion for normalcy included "a biphasic temperature curve, an ovulatory pattern of changes in gonadotropin and sex steroid excretion, and normal luteal function, defined as excretion of free Prg in a characteristic parabolic curve between the LH surge and beginning of the following menses". Keizer et al [15] assessed the effect of a 12 week endurance training program on plasma hormone responses among 8 previously untrained women. The training program consisted of running (2-3 times/week) and cycling (once/week). The training volume and intensity was progressively increased from a mean running speed of 9 km/hr (approx. 60% VO_2 max, equivalent to 65% of maximum heart rate [16]) and 20 min/day to 11-12 km/hr (approx. 80-85% of maximum heart rate) and 50-75 min/day. After training, follicular E2 values were 58% higher. However, the mean E2 value in the luteal phase was 57% (2-sided $P < 0.01$) of the pre-training value. All subjects were reported to have ovulated in the pre-training test cycle. Based on pre- and post-training levels of Prg in the luteal phase (22.3 ± 4.9 nmol/l, 20.8 ± 0.4 nmol, respectively), all subjects were reported to have ovulated post-training, however, individual values were not reported and the data remain inconclusive. It is unclear to the reader as to when post-test measurements were obtained. If measurements were made appreciably after the cessation of the training program, effects of de-training may be present and this may explain, in part, the unremarkable findings of Keizer et al. [15]. The small sample size utilized in this study may have also contributed to the contrary findings of Bullen et al. [13,14].

In summary, there is some evidence to suggest that high intensity exercise training programs alter ovarian function and are in argument with cross-sectional studies. There have been no reported studies which assess the effect of a moderate *intensity* exercise training program on ovarian function in previously sedentary women. Moderate exercise intensity has been clearly defined by Pollack and his colleagues [16] who reported exercise intensities of 70% of maximum heart rate (approx. 80% of VO_2 max) as moderate in exertion.

In this proposal, we are conducting a modified version of the above described studies by integrating elements of each study to meet our standards of a moderate intensity exercise training program in which previously inactive women can reasonably be expected to partake in. We are enrolling each subject in a 6 month moderate exercise training program. Developing a long-term exercise training program allows us to assess the chronic effects of a moderate intensity exercise program on ovarian function. Furthermore, are expecting to utilize a substantially larger sample size than has been previously reported by other training studies. We have restricted the protocol to aerobic exercise training exclusively. This restriction will allow us to standardize the form and intensity of exercise for all subjects. We are able to monitor each participant and adjust their workload to maintain a previously set training level (see Methods). We will be able to assess the specific effects of aerobic activity with changes in E2 and Prg. In addition to determining changes in ovarian hormone levels, we will assess changes in luteal phase length and frequency of anovulation. These latter parameters have not been assessed in a prospective training study and will add significantly to our understanding of the effects of *moderate* exercise on ovarian function.

BODY

Hypotheses

The hypotheses of this study are:

- 1) Frequency of ovulation will be reduced as a result of a 6 month aerobic exercise training program of moderate intensity.

- 2) Serum Estradiol (E2) levels will be lower as a result of a 6 month aerobic exercise training program of moderate intensity.
- 3) Luteal phase menstrual cycle lengths will be shorter as a result of a 6 month aerobic exercise training program of moderate intensity.

Procedures

A prospective study has been undertaken to assess the effect of a 6 month moderate intensity exercise training program on basal hormonal levels among previously sedentary premenopausal women. In this study, we are, over a 3-year period, collecting blood and urine specimens (baseline and near the end of the 6 month training program) and questionnaire data from 120 women who agree to participate in exercise training program.

Subject Selection

Interested female participants are asked to complete a brief screening survey. The screening survey is intended to identify subjects who meet our criteria of inclusion. All study participants must meet the following criteria:

- * nulliparous
- * 18 to 35 years of age
- * free of underlying diseases or conditions that may interfere with the measurement of hormone levels and/or the interpretation of hormone data
- * have not used hormonal contraceptives over the past six months and not planning to over the course of participation in the study
- * average menstrual cycle length between 15 and 45 days
- * no regular exercise over the past 6 months
- * BMI value between 20 and 30 kg/m²
- * no dieting over the past 6 months
- * no smoking over the past 6 months

These criteria are set to reduce the impact of confounding variables which may be associated with altered ovarian function. We contact all interested women and review the responses provided on the screening survey to confirm eligibility. We then ask selected participants to notify us of the first day of their next menstrual cycle. At that time, subjects are asked to meet with us at a specified location, at a mutually convenient time to sign to an Informed Consent, to pick up a study kit, and to receive the questionnaire (described below).

Training Protocol:

A 6 month endurance training program at the Spectrum Club Manhattan Beach and the downtown YMCA has been undertaken. We have chosen to conduct this training program over an extended period of time in an effort to determine the effects of a long-term exercise training program of moderate intensity on ovarian function, the effects of which are presently unknown. Subjects report to the study site and engage in a monitored aerobic exercise training program (weight lifting or cross training are not incorporated into the exercise prescription) for a total of 3 hours per week. The participants begin their training at 50% of their maximum heart rate for 20 minutes per session. Their training regimen is increased gradually to 60 minutes per session while they are at 65% of their maximum heart rate (see Table II).

Table I - Protocol for Individual Participation		Table II - Training Protocol			
Study Months	Activity	Week	Time (min)	% max. heart rate	% VO2 max.
1	Baseline hormone Measurements	1	20	50	40
		2	20	50	40
2-3	Training program begins and build up to 3 hrs/wk 65% maximum heart rate.	3	20	60	45
		4	30	60	45
		5	30	65	50
4-7	Maintain training at 4 at 3 hrs/wk hour per at 65% maximum heart rate	6	40	65	50
		7	50	65	50
7	Comparative hormone Measurements.	8	60	65	50

Over the last 4 months of the study, each subject exercises for an hour each session at about 65% of her maximum heart rate. Initially, this was to be determined by individualized VO₂ max testing [16]. Corresponding heart rates were to be calculated at the time of the VO₂ max test. The only machine available to determine VO₂ max was located at the Spectrum Club headquarters in West Los Angeles and the availability of this machine was limited for research purposes. We therefore defaulted to a more general approximation for determining VO₂ max using a standard equation to determine maximal oxygen uptake. This equation, the Karvonen equation, is maximum heart rate = [220-age]. Using this equation we were able to establish a starting point. Since training effects are expected to occur over the 6 month time period, staff assist participants in adjusting their exercise protocol to coincide with 65% of their maximum heart rate.

Data Collection:

Baseline and Post-Training Testing:

(Per individual subject, based on an average cycle length of 28 days, longer cycles will require a slightly longer pre- and post-testing period.)

Baseline measurements of weight, height, and percent body fat are made (described below). These procedures must be completed before the exercise program commences. Baseline physiological tests prior to the 6th month training program (month 1) and will repeat these measurements during the last month of the training period (month 7) are made to determine whether differences of hormone levels and frequency of ovulation exist.

Height and weight and percent body fat (month 1 and 7): These measurements are made prior to the commencement of the exercise program and are repeated near the end of exercise training program. Subjects are measured individually, out of the view of other subjects. Subjects are weighed without shoes or oversweaters. A Gopher G82-419 weigh balance scale is used to weigh each subject. The scale is re-calibrated daily. Measurement of height is performed immediately after weighing, and is done without shoes. A rigid measuring stick, calibrated in inches, is taped to the wall of the laboratory and the subject is asked to face forward with the back of her head against the stick. A ruler is then placed on top of the subject's head and the height read from the measuring tape on the wall. Percent body fat is measured using skin calipers on the following three sites: hip, waist and arm. These measurements are repeated and must come within 5% of the comparison measure or a third set of measurements will be

conducted. A member of the study team or a personal trainer from the health club will perform the measurements.

Questionnaire (month 1): Each participant completes a structured questionnaire. This questionnaire was designed by combining other questionnaires developed by Dr. Bernstein in her previous studies of exercise activity and risk of breast cancer, and of the effect of exercise activity on menstrual patterns in adolescents, as well as questionnaires developed by Dr. Paffenbarger and his colleagues on physical activity [17-19], and Dr. Willett and his colleagues on diet [20-22]. It is important to collect detailed information on past exercise and dietary patterns since these may potentially influence the outcome measurements of this study. The main study questionnaire includes basic demographic questions (age, race and socio-economic class based on education of parents), age at menarche, family history of cancer, and use of tobacco and alcohol. We are collecting this additional information to be used as covariates in future analyses regarding the effects of an exercise training program on ovarian function (see Statistical Analysis).

Diet is assessed with a slightly modified version of the Semiquantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (SFFQ) developed and validated by Dr. W. Willett and his colleagues [20-22]. The SFFQ was designed with the objective of categorizing individuals by their intake of nutrients hypothesized to affect the occurrences of cancer and heart disease. In its original form, the SFFQ consists of a 4-page printed Diet Assessment which can be mailed to subjects, and, when coded in pencil, provides machine-readable data. This form asks respondents how often they usually consumed a specified portion of 116 foods and drinks (over the previous year) with 9 response categories ranging from less than once a month to 6 or more servings per day. Additional items not presented in the frequency format include the types of fat used for frying and cooking, the type of margarine used, the amounts of bran and sugar added to food, usual brands of cold breakfast cereal, frequency and brand of multiple vitamin supplements and the doses, iron, zinc, and calcium. We have modified the original questionnaire in consultation with Dr. Willett by adding a list of 24 additional foods, which have been identified as funded case-control studies of endometrial (R01-CA48774-05) and ovarian (R01-CA61132-02S1) cancer in our department. The main aim of collecting these data will be to investigate whether diet is a confounder of any effects found with exercise.

Daily Records and Menstrual Calendars (months 1-7): Sedentary subjects are recruited and it is required that they avoid making any substantial changes in lifestyle habits (e.g. diet, smoking, drinking and exercise --aside from the training study). However, some occasional recreational or other activity may occur. For this reason, subjects record all physical activities over the previous 24 hours not included in the training program (i.e., recreational activity, occupational activity and daily routine) in daily logs over the entire study. Additionally, participants are asked to maintain menstrual cycle calendars over the duration of the study. These calendars are included in the study kit. On the calendar, each participant records each day of menstrual bleeding for each menstrual cycle that occurs by circling the appropriate dates. These calendars are used to determine menstrual cycle lengths and to monitor menstrual cycle frequency over the duration of the study. Attendance and activity at the gym of each participant will be electronically monitored with a membership ID at the front desk and recorded by a member of our research team.

Heart Rates: During the course of the 6 months training program, heart rates are self-monitored using an electrode chest belt with a corresponding wrist display to allow continuous monitoring of heart rate. Research assistants are present at both sites. The wrist alarms alert the assistants to

necessary changes in workloads so that subjects may maintain their exercise level at 65% of their maximum heart rate.

Biological Collections:

Biological specimens (urine and blood) are collected at baseline (month 1) and during the final month of training (month 7).

Urine collection: During the baseline menstrual cycle, daily urine samples beginning on cycle day 10 and continuing until the first day of the next cycle are collected from each participant. Plastic bottles for urine collection are provided. Accompanying the bottles is a list of directions instructing the subject to collect a 30 ml sample of first morning urine and specifying procedures to follow. Each participants progress is monitored and reminder phone calls are made to check on the study protocol and answer any questions that may have arisen. Subjects notify us as to the start of their next menstrual cycle. Each participant is telephoned the night prior to the first scheduled urine collection as a reminder, and to answer any questions they may have regarding urine collection. At least once a week, subjects are required to deposit their daily urine specimens at the health club for processing. Samples are picked up daily by Dr. Shames or a research assistant and stored on the medical campus at -20°C until analyzed.

Each day's collection for each subject is identified by a 8 digit alpha-numeric ID (Axxxx-xx-x) and date of urine collection. The first 5 digits of the ID represent the participants unique ID number (A1001-....) and is assigned chronologically at the time of enrollment. Digits 6 and 7 represent the day of the menstrual cycle for the particular sample. The final digit is the cycle number (1 or 2, pre- and post, respectively).

Serum collection: During the baseline menstrual cycle, subjects are asked to provide from 2 to 5, 15 ml blood samples (depending on the length of their cycle). Blood is taken at the health club on cycle days 11 (± 1) and 22 (± 1) [and subsequently on days 29 (± 1), 36 (± 1) and 43 (± 1), in the event menses has not occurred]. Most subjects need to provide only 2 or 3 samples. Allowance is made for a one day variation to account for samples which may fall on a weekend and for unavoidable conflicts. Subjects must report to the gym between 7:30 AM and 9:30 AM (on the day of their scheduled appointment) in a fasting state and have refrained from exercise activities for at least 5 hours. Participants are phoned the evening prior to their appointments as a reminder. Blood specimens are processed into serum and stored at -20°C for analysis. Sterile 2 ml polypropylene low temperature freezer vials are coded with the same 6 digit coding system as described above for urine, plus an additional digit for aliquot number.

Progress (Year 1):

We devoted an enormous amount of time and effort in year 1 to the advertisement and recruitment for this study. As indicated above, our study criteria are quite rigorous and only very select women meet these criteria.

We began our recruitment efforts by placing fliers within a one mile radius of the designated study sites. We placed fliers at grocery stores, video stores, movie theatres, shopping malls and various other local shops. Additionally, we attended local health fairs and posted signs in several of the larger engineering and computer firms (e.g., Xerox, TRW, Hughes Aircraft, Mattel, Aerospace).

As a study incentive, we arranged to provide a 6 month health club membership at the health clubs at no cost to the subject. At the end of the training study, each participant who had

fully completed the study, receives a reduced membership rate to continue use of these facilities and is compensated with \$160.00 for their time, effort and transportation costs.

During this year 59 participants met our criteria and were enrolled in the study. At the end of Year 1, 6 participants had completed the study, 26 were currently exercising, and 7 were in the baseline phase. The remaining 20 participants had dropped out of the study.

Progress (Year 2):

We enhanced our strategy for recruitment during the second year of this study. We began placing ads in the health and calendar sections of local newspapers and cable television stations to increase our range of exposure. We have secured spots on 4 area cable stations and 9 newspapers covering a range from as far east as Pasadena traveling west through Santa Monica and downward into the Southbay. We have been featured in the downtown news, participated in the downtown health fair and will be speaking to several of the large businesses in the area. We have also found it useful to set-up display tables at local health fairs, shopping malls, and other highly visited sites. Several participating subjects have suggested this study to friends and colleagues.

Year 1 and 2 participation statistics:

<u>Total screened</u>		<u>Total eligible/accepted AND began biological Collections</u>		<u>Total drop out</u>
(responded to ads, <u>>1,500</u> fliers, lectures, word-of-mouth, health fairs)	----->	<u>84</u>	----->	<u>44</u>
<u>Enrollment statistics for Year 1</u>		<u>Enrollment statistics for Year 2</u>		
Completed study	<u>6</u>	Completed study	<u>20</u>	
Currently exercising	<u>26</u>	Currently exercising	<u>20</u>	
Baseline Phase	<u>7</u>	Baseline Phase	<u>0</u>	

The original protocol called for stationary bicycling only. Due to complaints of boredom from many of the participants, we extended the type of activity to include other forms of aerobic activity such as the treadmill and stairmaster as well as the stationary bicycle. We implemented this change with the first exercising subject and have continued to utilize this slightly modified protocol. Frequency, intensity and duration of these activities has not been altered. To account for vacation or sick leave, we have added additional weeks to the program, accordingly, to ensure each subject has participated for 24 weeks.

Data Management:

The day-to-day tracking of participants has been managed on an IBM compatible PC using EXCEL. Using this database, we can effectively monitor participants accrual, assessment

responses, gym attendance and track all data collection throughout the study (i.e., questionnaire data, physical measurements, daily physical activity logs, urine and serum collection appointments, etc.).

Both the initial screening questionnaire and the study questionnaire have been coded and entered into an EXCEL file. Height, weight, and body fat measurements (pre - and post-training) have been coded and entered into an EXCEL file as well. The completed Diet Assessments are checked for stray marks and completeness of coding and will be sent to Dr. Willett for analysis. Dr. Willett's nutritionist team will also code any additional foods from the 24-item Los Angeles supplement list and compute nutrient scores for total calories, saturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, cholesterol, other and both crude and dietary fiber. All items are coded using the coding system developed for the Nurses' Health Study [34-36].

We strictly maintain the confidentiality of data through use of locked cabinets accessible only to employees directly involved in the study who have signed an employee confidentiality form. Computer-stored information will have only the study identification number to ensure security. We will publish results from the study in a tabular descriptions of groups or in a form which precludes identification of specific individuals.

Contravention of Institutional Review Board (IRB) Procedures

Twelve months into the study, we submitted the standard required annual IRB progress report. This report was accepted by the IRB, attached letter dated 11/11/97, with the proviso that certain modifications be made in the previously IRB approved Informed Consent. These changes were made, but as explained in the attached letter from Dr. Lisa Shames to the IRB dated 16 July 1998, these changes were, in error, not submitted to the IRB for approval. The study continued with the revised, but unapproved, Informed Consent. Dr. Shames takes full responsibility for this serious oversight. In response to this letter to the IRB, recruitment to this study has been suspended by the IRB. The IRB subsequently informed your Dr. Patricia Modrow (attached letter dated 9/16/98) of this infraction of the IRB rules, of their suspension of recruitment to the study and their wish to replace Dr. Shames as Principal Investigator on the grant with Dr. Ruth Peters, Professor of Clinical Preventive Medicine at USC Medical School and a very experienced senior investigator. We await a response from Dr. Modrow. We fully expect Dr. Modrow to inform us that Dr. Peters is acceptable as PI. Once this has occurred, the IRB will be immediately approached by Dr. Peters to re-open the study. At present we are continuing to follow-up all participants who had started the study.

Year 3(Future Plans):

We underestimated the difficulty in recruiting for a 6 month exercise training study in part, due to our rigorous inclusion criteria. Additionally, we have had a 50% drop out rate - higher than the 30% we had expected. However, this is still more women than have ever been studied in this way..

We have found that a number of subjects continue to participate through the 3 or 4 month mark and are lost to the study shortly thereafter. Currently our protocol does not call for any biological sample collections prior to the 6 month post-test measurements. In the proposal that Dr Peters will be submitting we have put in a 4 month blood and urine draw. This will mean that people staying in the program for at least 4 months will provide useful information.

We are confident that we will be able to recruit and complete data collection on an additional 40 women during the final year of the study. The 80 participants we project to complete this study by the end of year 3, should be of sufficient size to provide valuable

information concerning the effects of exercise on ovarian function in a prospective intervention study.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Kelsey J, Gammon M, Johns E. Reproductive factors and breast cancer. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:36-47.
2. Pike MC, Spicer DV, Dahmouch L, et al. Estrogens, progestogens, normal breast cell proliferation, and breast cancer risk. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:17-35.
3. Bernstein L, Ross RK. Endogenous hormones and breast cancer risk. *Epidemiol Rev* 1993;15:48-65.
4. Brinton LA, Schairer C, Hoover RN, et al. Menstrual factors and risk of breast cancer. *Cancer Invest* 1988;6:245-254.
5. Bernstein L, Yuan J-M, Ross RK, et al. Serum hormone levels in premenopausal Chinese women in Shanghai and white women in Los Angeles: results from two breast cancer case-control studies. *Cancer Causes & Control* 1990;1:51-58.
6. Frisch RE, Wyshak G, Albright NL, et al. Lower prevalence of breast cancer and cancers of the reproductive system among former college athletes compared to non-athletes. *Br J Cancer* 1985;52:885-891.
7. Vihko VJ, Apter DL, Pukkala EI, et al. Risk of breast cancer among female teachers of physical education and languages. *Acta Oncol* 1992;31:201-204.
8. Albanes D, Blair A, Taylor P. Physical activity and risk of cancer in the NHANES I population. *Am J Public Health* 1989;79:744-750.
9. Bernstein L, Henderson BE, Hanisch R, et al. Physical exercise activities and reduced risk of breast cancer in young women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1994;86:1403-1408.
10. Sherman LM, Korenman SG. Measurement of plasma LH, FSH, estradiol and progesterone in disorders of the human menstrual cycle: The short luteal phase. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1974;38:89-93.
11. Shangold M, Freeman R, Thyssen B, et al. The relationship between long-distance running, plasma progesterone, and luteal phase length. *Fertil Steril* 1979;31:130-133.
12. Boyden TW, Pamenter RW, Stanforth P, et al. Sex steroids and endurance running in women. *Fertil Steril* 1983;39:629-632.
13. Bullen BA, Skrinar GS, Beitins IZ, et al. Endurance training effects on plasma hormonal responsiveness and sex hormone excretion. *J Appl Physiol* 1984;56:1453-1463.
14. Bullen BA, Skrinar GS, Beitens IZ, et al. Induction of menstrual disorders by strenuous exercise in untrained women. *N Engl J Med* 1985;312:1349-1353.
15. Keizer HA, Kuipers H, de Haan J, et al. Effect of a 3-month endurance training program on metabolic and multiple hormonal responses to exercise. *Int J Sports Med* 1987;8:154-160.
16. Pollack ML and Wilmore JH. Exercise in Health and Disease: Evaluation and Prescription for Prevention and Rehabilitation, 2nd Edition. W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, PA, 1990, pp.265-266.
17. Paffenbarger RS, Blair SN, Lee I, et al. Measurement of physical activity to assess health effects in free-living populations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993;25:60-70.
18. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993;25:71-80.

19. Ainsworth BE, Leon AS, Richardson MT, et al. Accuracy of the college alumnus physical activity questionnaire. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1993;46:1403-1411.
20. Willett WC, Sampson L, Stampfer MJ, et al. Reproducibility and validity of a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122:51-65.
21. Willett WC, Sampson L, Bain C, et al. Vitamin supplement use among registered nurses. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1981;34:1121-1125.
22. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Underwood BA, et al. Validation of a dietary questionnaire with plasma carotenoid and alpha-tocopherol levels. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1983;38:631-639.

Appendices



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

School of Medicine

21 July 98

Department of Preventive Medicine
USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center

Lisa S. Shames, Ph.D., M.P.H.

16 July 1998

Darcy V. Spicer, M.D.
Assistant Dean for Clinical Studies
Institutional Review Board (IRB)
Research Committee Office
Trailer #25 (Unit 1)

Re: IRB Proposal #95B053
The Effect of Moderate Aerobic Exercise Training on Ovarian Function


Dear Dr. Spicer,

You wrote me a letter regarding the above study on 11/11/97 informing me that the Research Review Subcommittee on 11/4/97 'Approved with Stipulation' this study. I made the two stipulated changes to the Informed Consent and sent this back to the IRB by campus mail on 11/17/97. The corrected Informed Consent is attached.

I mistakenly then started the study with the revised Informed Consent. I did not realize that I was not allowed to do this before the IRB had approved the revised form, although on rereading your letter of 11/11/97 I find that this is in fact clearly stated. I am truly sorry for this enormous oversight. I understand the important and gravity of these types of errors and would like you to know that I take this problem very seriously.

As a new investigator, I feel I have learned an enormous amount about the IRB procedures and have put-forth enormous effort in the past few months to closely scrutinize my submissions to the committee. Please know that we have halted enrollment on this study until further notice from the board and please accept my deepest apology for this oversight.

Sincerely,



Lisa S. Shames, Ph.D., M.P.H.

P.S. Since 11/21/97 we have enrolled 26 women,
4 of whom have dropped out.

I-58
s/c 8-4-98

**INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
HEALTH RESEARCH ASSOCIATION
AND
INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
SCHOOL OF MEDICINE**

LAC/USC Medical Center, 1200 North State Street, Trailer #25, Los Angeles, CA 90033

DATE: July 21, 1998

TO: Malcolm C. Pike, M.D.
Department Chair, Preventive Medicine
Norris Cancer Hospital, #4425

FROM: Darcy V. Spicer, M.D., Assistant Dean for Clinical Studies
Institutional Review Board Secretary
(213) 223-2340

SUBJECT: THE EFFECT OF MODERATE AEROBIC EXERCISE TRAINING ON OVARIAN
FUNCTION, (IRB Protocol #95B-053).

The above title research protocol was submitted to the IRB on November 27, 1995. On November 4, 1997, the protocol was reviewed at the monthly Research Review Subcommittee meeting. At this meeting the protocol was approved with 2 stipulations. The IRB action letter with the stipulations and the instructions "The study cannot be initiated until the stipulations are addressed and approved by the IRB" was sent to Dr. Lisa Shames on November 11, 1997. A copy of this action letter is attached.

The IRB office received correspondence signed by Dr. Shames dated July 16, 1998. The correspondence indicated that 26 subjects had been enrolled on this study since its last review. This submission was considered on July 21, 1998.

The Institutional Review Board believes the submission indicates human subjects were entered by Dr. Shames into a research study that had not received final IRB approval and that the Informed Consent Form document signed by research subjects did not receive IRB approval. The IRB office believes this to be in violation of Institutional, State and Federal regulations concerning the use of human subjects in research. Consistent with prior actions:

1. Accrual of subjects to this study must immediately stop.
2. The Institutional Review Board is very concerned about Dr. Shames' decision to enter subjects on a study which has not received final IRB approval.
3. Before the Institutional Review Board will consider approval of this study, a senior member of the faculty must be appointed as a co-principal investigator for this study. This senior faculty member will provide the supervision and guidance for Dr. Shames for the remainder of the study.
4. Any patient enrolled on this study should first receive the written or verbal approval of this senior co-principal investigator.

cc: Dr. Lisa Shames

copy to:

Ruth Peters
Lisa Shames

USC

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

School of Medicine

*Division of Medical Oncology
USC/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center*

Darcy V. Spicer, M.D.
*Associate Professor of Clinical Medicine
Assistant Dean for Clinical Studies*

September 16th, 1998

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Dr. Patricia Modrow
Grant Officer's Representative
U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
Attn: MRMC-AAA-B
Fort Detrick
Frederick, MD 21702-5014

RE: Grant Agreement No. DAMD17-96-1-6013
Principal Investigator, Lisa S. Shames, Ph.D.

Dear Ms. Mcallister:

Recently the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Southern California Health Sciences Campus became aware of noncompliance with Institutional Policies and Procedures by Dr. Lisa Shames, the Principal Investigator on the project entitled, "The Effect of Moderate Aerobic Exercise Training on Ovarian Function (the "Project")." This research project is funded by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity as Grant Agreement No. DAMD17-96-1-6013.

On November 11th, 1997, the IRB approved the Project for continuation provided Dr. Shames modify the Informed Consent Form document that she was using. Specifically, the IRB required the following modifications:

1. Revisions to the Offer to Answer Questions section to include who to contact for injuries and to correct the IRB information noting the IRB may be contacted for questions concerning the subjects rights as a research subject.
2. The California Patient Bill of Rights be inserted before the Agreement section so that subjects need only sign one document.

1441 Eastlake Avenue, Mail Stop #34, Rm. 3442
Los Angeles, CA 90033-0800
Tel: 323-865-3904
Fax: 323-865-0114
e-mail: dspicer@hsc.usc.edu


The modifications were minor, but the IRB advised Dr. Shames that she must make the changes and submit the revised Informed Consent document for IRB approval before proceeding any further with the Project. On July 16th, 1998, the IRB received correspondence from Dr. Shames suggesting that she had enrolled 26 subjects on the Project since November 1997. The IRB subsequently confirmed with Dr. Shames that she had, in fact, proceeded with the Project without obtaining the necessary IRB approval.

Upon learning of the Principal Investigator's noncompliance with IRB policies, the IRB notified the Chairman of Dr. Shames' Department, Malcolm Pike, that accrual to the study must stop and that a senior faculty member must be appointed to replace Dr. Shames as Principal Investigator for the remainder of the Project. Dr. Pike confirmed that Dr. Shames had entered these 26 subjects and further that the many of the Informed Consent Form documents lacked the signature of a witness. Dr. Pike promptly appointed Dr. Ruth Peters to assume responsibility as Principal Investigator, subject to your approval. Dr. Peters has agreed to assume full responsibility for this project and to conduct the remainder of the Project in full compliance with IRB Policies and Procedures and Federal Regulations.


Dr. Shames' actions have not in any way jeopardized or threatened the health and safety of the subjects in the Project. Nevertheless, the IRB requested that the above action be taken to ensure that the Project is conducted in complete compliance with IRB policies and federal regulations. The Informed Consent Form document has been revised per the IRB's request and submitted to the IRB for consideration.

A copy of Dr. Peters curriculum vitae is enclosed for your review. We trust that Dr. Peters' appointment will meet with your approval. Please do not hesitate to contact either of us if you need additional information.

Sincerely,



Darcy V. Spicer, M.D.
Assistant Dean for Clinical Studies



Malcolm C. Pike, Ph.D.
Chairman, Department of Preventive Medicine



Nolan Gomm
Deputy Director, USC Contracts and Grants

cc: Patricia M. Mcallister, Grants Officer, U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity